RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Current state of knowledge of basic life support in health professionals of the largest city in Pakistan: a cross-sectional study



Babar Irfan¹, Ibrahim Zahid^{2*}, Muhammad Sharjeel Khan³, Omar Abdul Aziz Khan⁴, Shayan Zaidi⁴, Safia Awan⁵, Sobia Bilal⁶ and Omar Irfan⁷

Abstract

Background: Basic Life Support (BLS) is the recognition of sudden cardiac arrest and activation of the emergency response system, followed by resuscitation, and rapid defibrillation. According to WHO, Pakistan has one of the highest mortality rates from accidental deaths therefore assessment and comparison of BLS knowledge in health professionals is crucial. We thereby aim to assess and compare the knowledge of BLS in doctors, dentists and nurses.

Methods: A multi-centric cross-sectional survey was conducted in Karachi at different institutions belonging to the private as well as government sector from January to March 2018. We used a structured questionnaire which was adapted from pretested questionnaires that have been used previously in similar studies. Descriptive statistics were analyzed using SPSS v22.0, where adequate knowledge was taken as a score of at least 50%. P < 0.05 was considered as significant. Logistic regression was used to identify the factors affecting the knowledge regarding BLS in health care professionals.

Results: The responders consisted of 140 doctors, nurses and dentists each. Only one individual (dentist) received a full score of 100%. In total, 58.3% of the population had inadequate knowledge. Average scores of doctors, dentists and nurses were 53.5, 43.3 and 38.4% respectively. Doctors, participants with prior training in BLS and those with 6 to 10 years after graduation were found to be a significant predictor of adequate knowledge, on multivariate analysis.

Conclusion: Even though knowledge of BLS in doctors is better than that of dentists and nurses, overall knowledge of health care professionals is extremely poor. Present study highlights the need for a structured training of BLS for health care workers.

Keywords: BLS knowledge, ACLS, Cardiopulmonary resuscitation, Doctors, Dentists, Nurses, Education, Training

^{*} Correspondence: ibrahim_zahid@hotmail.com

²Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Background

Basic Life Support is the recognition of sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) followed by activation of emergency response system, early cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), and rapid defibrillation with an automated external defibrillator (AED) [1]. For decreasing the mortality rate and increasing survival ratio it is crucial for a health care provider to have a firm grip on basic cardiac life support knowledge and practices [2]. Globally, about 92% out-of-hospital cardiac arrest subjects lose their lives due to limited availability of CPR facilities. One of the leading causes of death and disability worldwide is out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) and it contributes to as high as 10% of total mortality in developing countries [3]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), Pakistan has some of the highest mortality rates from injuries such as road traffic accidents and accidental deaths with a recent review reported 146,000 deaths and 2.8 million injuries from road traffic accidents alone **[4]**.

Health care professionals encounter such emergency situations very often so they should have sufficient knowledge of BLS [5]. Apart from doctors and nurses, dental practitioners as a part of health care professionals also encounter life-threatening medical emergencies. A study [6] found that during the 12-month study period about two-thirds of dentists faced at least one emergency. Moreover, there are some reports showing that during dental treatment patients died due to cardiopulmonary arrest [7].

A study in India found the knowledge of BLS to be extremely poor in their study on medical, dental and nursing students, doctors and nurses [8]. In another study from South Africa, poor knowledge and skills of medical practitioners in basic resuscitation were reported [9]. In 2009 medical students from Karachi, Pakistan were evaluated and more than half of them were found to have no knowledge of BLS; it was concluded that prior training in BLS would improve the knowledge and its application [10]. On the other hand, a study on junior doctors from UK found them to be not capable enough to perform effective resuscitation even when life support training was being provided [11]. All these examples from different regions indicate a poor state of knowledge of BLS in health professionals and undergraduate students of related fields. Yet, no study has been conducted to compare the knowledge of BLS in 3 vital categories of health care that is, doctors, dentist and nurses, in Pakistan, and evaluate the factors affecting their awareness.

Therefore, the aim of the study was to assess the knowledge of BLS in doctors, dentists and nurses and identify the factors affecting the knowledge regarding BLS in health care professionals. This would highlight

the deficits in the current curriculum in these fields and help in guiding future planning of BLS programs in Pakistan. After this study, we hope that all aspects of BLS training for health personnel be improved, standardized and made more accessible.

Methods

A multi-center cross-sectional study was conducted in five institutions of Karachi from January to March 2018. The institutions included National Institute of Child Health, Altamash Institute of Dental Medicine, Dow University Hospital, Dr. Ruth K.M Pfau Civil Hospital Karachi, School of Nursing and Out-patient department of Jinnah Hospital, Karachi, ensuring that health care professionals are covered from a greater part of the city to minimize selection bias. The questionnaire was administered to the concerned staff on duty in different departments of the hospital based on non-probability convenience sampling. Based on the assumption that 15.2% of the study sample had adequate knowledge about BLS in a developing country [12], and taking a 5% margin of error and 90% confidence level, the calculated sample turned out to be 140. Therefore, 140 dentists, doctors and nurses each were included in our study which was designed for a similar setting, taking the total sample size to 420. The incomplete response forms were excluded from the final count of sample and data collection was conducted until 140 questionnaires were collected for each of the categories.

Prior to data collection, written informed consent was sought and participation in the study was voluntary. The survey was conducted after approval from Ethical Review Committee of the concerned institutions. The survey was divided into two sections; demographics and knowledge of BLS. Data were collected through a questionnaire (see Additional file 1) which is according to current AHA/ERC guidelines of 2015 [13]. We used a structured questionnaire which was adapted from pretested questionnaires that have been used previously in similar studies in India [8, 14, 15] and in Saudi Arabia [16]. The questionnaire was then assessed by carrying out a pilot study among the experienced medical fraternity (n = 50), and the necessary corrections were made accordingly after consultation with an emergency care specialist. Each question in the survey had the same weightage.

Data were entered and analyzed through IBM-Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22. A descriptive analysis is presented as number and percentages. Total score was categorized into two; less than 50% and more than or equal to 50%. Association between knowledge score were assessed by using the Chi-square test or Fisher exact test where appropriate. For post hoc tests following a Chi-Square, we use

P-Value

Total

Bonferroni Adjustment; this adjustment is used to counteract the problem of Type I Error that occurs when multiple comparisons are made. Odds Ratios (OR) and their 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) were estimated using Logistic Regression, with knowledge score as an outcome. All p-values were two sided and considered as statistically significant if P < 0.05.

Results

Demographic data of the study is as shown in Table 1. Most of the participants were female (63.6%), in the 21-30 age group (72.1%), graduated less than 5 years ago (71.9%), with no prior formal training in BLS (52.9%). Out of the 420 participants, 212 (50.5%) were Intern or resident, and 50 (11.9%) were clinical faculty.

Table 2 displays the responses of the 20 questions with the total correct and incorrect answers along with percentages of the 3 categories individually. The responses were statistically significant in all questions except questions pertaining to location for chest compression in

Table 1 Demographics of 420 participants

Characteristic	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	153	36.4
Female	267	63.6
Age		
21–30	303	72.1
31–40	86	20.5
41–50	27	6.4
51–60	04	1.0
Education		
Doctors	140	33.3
Dentists	140	33.3
Nurses	140	33.3
Designation		
Intern	97	23.1
Resident	115	27.4
Faculty	50	11.9
Others	158	37.6
Years since graduation		
0	01	0.2
0–5	302	71.9
6–10	82	19.5
11–15	30	7.1
16–20	05	1.2
Prior training in BLS		
Yes	198	47.1
No	222	52.9

Table 2 Number of correct and incorrect responses Dentists

Doctors

	Doctors	Dentists	Nurses	Total	<i>P</i> -Value
1. What is the	abbreviation	of "BLS"?			
Correct	136(97.1%)	135(96.4%)	122(87.1%)*	393(93.6%)	0.001
Incorrect	4(2.9%)	5(3.6%)	18(12.9%)	27(6.4%)	
	find someone onse? (Note: Y			of the road, wha	nt will be
Correct	94(67.1%)	83(59.3%)	71(50.7%)	248(59.0%)	0.02
Incorrect	46(32.9%)	57(40.7%)	69(49.3%)	172(41.0%)	
	rm somebody im, what will b			en after shaking	and
Correct	65(46.4%)*	36(25.7%)	35(25.0%)*	136(32.4%)	< 0.001
Incorrect	75(53.6%)	104(74.3%)	105(75.0%)	284(67.6%)	
4. What is the	location for c	hest compress	ion?		
Correct	102(72.9%*	70(50.0%)	63(45.0%)	235(56.0%)	< 0.001
Incorrect	38(27.1%)	70(50.0%)	77(55.0%)	185(44.0%)	
5. What is the	location for c	hest compress	ion in infants?		
Correct	55(39.3%)	68(48.6%)	52(37.1%)	175(41.7%)	0.11
Incorrect	85(60.7%)	72(51.4%)	88(62.9%)	245(58.3%)	
6. If you do no	ot want to giv	e mouth-to-m	outh CPR, the f	ollowing can b	e done
Correct	90(64.3%)	76(54.3%)	60(42.9%)*	226(53.8%)	0.002
Incorrect	50(35.7%)	64(45.7%)	80(57.1%)	194(46.2%)	
7. How do yo	u give rescue	breathing in ir	nfants?		
Correct	21(15.0%)	31(22.1%)	19(13.6%)	71(16.9%)	0.12
Incorrect	119(85.0%)	109(77.9%)	121(86.4%)	349(83.1%)	
8. Depth of co	ompression in	adults during	CPR		
Correct	18(12.9%)	20(14.3%)	11(7.9%)	49(11.7%)	0.21
Incorrect	122(87.1%)	120(85.7%)	129(92.1%)	371(88.3%)	
9. Depth of co	ompression in	Children durir	ig CPR		
Correct	54(38.6%)	35(25.0%)	49(35.0%)	138(32.9%)	0.04
Incorrect	86(61.4%)	105(75.0%)	91(65.0%)	282(67.1%)	
	compression ir			(, , , , ,	
Correct	53(37.9%)	49(35.0%)	54(38.6%)	156(37.1%)	0.80
Incorrect	87(62.1%)	91(65.0%)	86(61.4%)	264(62.9%)	
	, ,	, ,	d Children durir	,	
Correct	81(57.9%)	64(45.7%)	61(43.6%)	206(49.0%)	0.03
Incorrect	59(42.1%)	76(54.3%)	79(56.4%)	214(51.0%)	0.03
	PR, single resci		7 5 (50. 170)	211(31.070)	
Correct	97(69.3%)*	69(49.3%)	57(40.7%)*	223(53.1%)	< 0.001
Incorrect	43(30.7%)	71(50.7%)	83(59.3%)	197(46.9%)	< 0.001
	, ,		and ventilation		
Correct	20(14.3%)	18(12.9%)	28(20.0%)	66(15.7%)	0.22
Incorrect		122(87.1%)	, ,		0.22
	120(85.7%) abbreviation	, ,	112(80.0%)	354(84.3%)	
				200(40 50()	. 0.001
Correct	87(62.1%)*	69(49.3%)	52(37.1%)*	208(49.5%)	< 0.001
Incorrect	53(37.9%)	71(50.7%)	88(62.9%)	212(50.5%)	
	abbreviation			0.5.1/50.000	
Correct	111(79.3%*	91(65.0%)	62(44.3%)*	264(62.9%)	< 0.001
Incorrect	29(20.7%)	49(35.0%)	78(55.7%)	156(37.1%)	
16. If you and	your friend ar	e having food	in a canteen a	nd suddenly yc	ur friend

Table 2 Number of correct and incorrect responses (Continued)

	Doctors	Dentists	Nurses	Total	<i>P</i> -Value
starts express	ing symptoms	of choking, w	hat will be yo	ur first response?	
Correct	40(28.6%)	31(22.1%)	32(22.9%)	103(24.5%)	0.39
Incorrect	100(71.4%)	109(77.9%)	108(77.1%)	317(75.5%)	

17. You are witnessing an infant who suddenly started choking while he was playing with the toy, you have confirmed that he is unable to cry (or) cough, what will be your first response?

Correct	104(74.3%*	83(59.3%)	74(52.9%)	261(62.1%)	0.001
Incorrect	36(25.7%)	57(40.7%)	66(47.1%)	159(37.9%)	

18. You are witnessing an adult unresponsive victim who has been submerged in fresh water and just removed from it. He has spontaneous breathing, but he is unresponsive. What is the first step?

Correct	40(28.6%)*	11(7.9%)	26(18.6%)	77(18.3%)	< 0.001
Incorrect	100(71,4%)	129(92.1%)	114(81.4%)	343(81.7%)	

19. You noticed that your colleague has suddenly developed slurring of speech and weakness of right upper limb. Which one of the following can be done?

Correct	109(77.9%*	67(47.9%)	61(43.6%)*	237(56.4%)*	< 0.001
Incorrect	31(22.1%)	73(52.1%)	79(56.4%)	183(43.6%)	

20. A 50-year-old gentleman with retrosternal chest discomfort, profuse sweating and vomiting. What is next?

Correct	122(87.1%*	107(76.4%)	85(60.7%)*	314(74.8%)	< 0.001
Incorrect	18(12.9%)	33(23.6%)	55(39.3%)	106(25.2%)	

^{*}p-value for post hoc chi-square test: 0.05/6 = 0.0083

infants, rescue breathing in infants, depth of compression in adults and neonates during chest compressions, chest compression and ventilation ratio in newborn, and first response for symptoms of choking (p < 0.05). Most notably, majority failed to correctly answer the depth of compressions in adults, the method of giving rescue breaths in infants, chest compression and ventilation ratio in newborn and first response following choking (correct answers < 20%).

In total, 58.3% of the individuals who answered the questionnaire received less than 50% marks, corresponding to inadequate knowledge. Only one individual -a dentistry resident- was able to achieve a full score of 100%. Interns had a mean score of 42.8 ± 14.2 whilst their academic seniors, the residents had an almost similar mean score of 43.1 ± 16.4 . Faculty scored slightly higher with an average score of 49.4 ± 13.4 . Students and medical and house officers who were all grouped in one category had an average score of 46.6 ± 16.0 , (p value = 0.02). On average, the scores of doctors, dentists and nurses were 53.5 ± 14.2 , 43.3 ± 13.4 and 38.4 ± 15.0 respectively, (p value< 0.001).

Univariate and multivariate analyses of the data are shown in Table 3. Collectively, being a doctor (odds ratio (OR), 5.89, 95% CI: (2.91 to 11.89), a faculty member (odds ratio (OR), 3.49, 95% CI: (1.51 to 8.05), before and having attended a BLS course (odds ratio (OR), 2.19, 95% CI: (1.34 to 3.56), was significantly associated with greater knowledge. While years of education graduated

6–10 years (odds ratio (OR), 0.46, 95% CI: (0.24 to 0.88) have a protective effect on knowledge score.

Discussion

The level of awareness regarding BLS among doctors, dentists and nurses in a tertiary care setting, in general, was found to be inadequate. This is more alarming as members of the health care community are expected to have knowledge and the skills to be able to perform CPR [17], and they are looked upon as the leaders when a needful situation arises [18].

Unfortunately, the doctors, nurses and dentists did not perform well when their knowledge regarding BLS was tested in our study, with only 67.1% of the doctors, 35% dentists and 22.9% of the nurses having an adequate amount of knowledge regarding BLS (score ≥ 50%) with their average scores being along the same pattern, as mentioned previously. While a similar study from South India [8] observed similar orderliness when adjusted according to scores, another study from Nepal [2] found the mean score of nurses to be greater than that of the dentists. The former study had only 15.2% of the participants scoring greater than 50% [8], however, in our study much greater proportion (41.7%) was able to achieve the same. Our findings are in line with several studies which observed poor knowledge among medical students [19] and health care professionals while simultaneously proving that medical interns had greater knowledge regarding BLS as compared to dental interns [20]. A study conducted in North Kerala, which is said to have close to 100% literacy rate with its health care sector reaching international standards, with a study sample which has the mean score to be 44.5% [21] which corresponds to the overall mean score of knowledge of our study (45.1%). This was further corroborated by other studies in India where the mean score was found to be 41.6% [3], 36.05% [22] and Nepal where it was 44% [2]. It is noteworthy that only less than 2% of our participants were able to exceed the 80% mark set by AHA, analogous to the 4.3% quoted in Kerala [21].

About half of the individuals were able to correctly identify the location (56.0%) and number of chest compressions (49.0%) required in an adult per minute and their ratio to breaths (53.1%). This was corroborated by the findings of a study conducted in South India [3] and Karantaka [23]. There are studies who found a negative relationship of physician's experience with their knowledge of BLS, like the one by Zamir et al. [24] and Nambiar et al. [21]. Our study concluded that a time period of 6 to 10 years since graduation and being a faculty member was significantly associated with adequate knowledge regarding BLS (Table 3), which is possible due to higher number of training courses and the chances of increased amount of 'hands on' real life

Irfan et al. BMC Health Services Research (2019) 19:865 Page 5 of 7

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate logistic regression

Characteristic	Odds Ratio[95% CI]	<i>P</i> -value
Univariate analysis		
Age		
21–30	Reference	
31–40	0.88[0.54–1.43]	0.61
41–50	0.79[0.35–1.79]	0.57
51-60	1.34[0.18–9.70]	0.76
Gender		
Female	Reference	
Male	0.75[0.49–1.12]	0.16
Designation		
Intern	Reference	
Resident	1.02[0.58–1.80]	0.92
Faculty	2.0[1.0-4.01]	0.04*
Others	1.63[0.96–2.74]	0.06
BLS course		
No	Reference	
Yes	3.43[2.28–5.15]	< 0.001*
Education		
Nurses	Reference	
Doctors	6.89[4.06-11.70]	< 0.001*
Dentists	1.81[1.07–3.07]	0.02*
Years since gradua	tion	
0–5	Reference	
6–10	0.36[0.20-0.63]	< 0.001*
> 10	1.26[0.62–2.55]	0.50
Multivariate analysis		
Education		
Nurses	Reference	
Doctors	5.89[2.91–11.89]	< 0.001*
Dentists	1.30[0.69–2.45]	0.41
Years		
0–5	Reference	
6–10	0.46[0.24–0.88]	0.02*
> 10	1.80[0.79–4.10]	0.16
BLS course		
No	Reference	
Yes	2.19[1.34–3.56]	0.002*
Designation		
Intern	Reference	
Resident	2.41[1.19–4.90]	0.01*
Faculty	3.49[1.51–8.05]	0.003*
Others	1.85[1.00-3.41]	0.04*

Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit; 0.47; χ 2 = 6.59 *CI* Confidence interval; **P*<0.05

experiences with greater years of practice. On multivariate analysis, after adjusting for other demographic factors, a prior course in BLS was significantly associated with increased amount of knowledge, which corresponds to the findings of previous literature [12, 25, 26]. While the number and duration since the last course taken was not assessed in our study, it has been found in previous studies that retraining enhances a person's knowledge and skills related to BLS [27, 28]. Cooper et al. [5] further proved that provision of a short Immediate Life Support (ILS) course following 6 months after a BLS course significantly improves the knowledge and skills of people.

There can be several causes behind the disappointing figures from our survey. Nonetheless, factors which restrict the health care professionals from receiving regular BLS training are compelling. Hectic residency schedules and scarcity of resources act as a major barrier. Lack of passion to learn resuscitation during internship and residency training, limited number of BLS programs being offered, lack of assessment tools following a training course, decreased encounter with relevant patients, difficulty in retaining the knowledge and increasing number of professionals as compared to the inadequate slots in training programs are only a few limitations to adequate knowledge of BLS. A study demonstrate that cardiopulmonary arrest can occur in some of emergency cases in dental practice if they are not managed properly [29]. Starc et al. demonstrated how first year medical students had satisfactory BLS skills when assessed shortly after a course, thereby allowing the deduction that the more recent the training the greater the skillfulness [30]. This calls for refresher training courses in addition to the mandatory BLS course taken once around graduation, to allow for persistent expertise in the skill. This is the case in our Country where post-graduation career lacks any of such comprehensive courses which can refresh BLS skills. Therefore, knowledge of BLS in these health professionals is important.

BLS training should be made compulsory and must be included in the curriculum of all undergraduate and post graduate training schools [31], as appropriate training of BLS improves survival rates and following resuscitation of cardiac arrest patients [32] and such courses with hands on practice are essential for the betterment of CPR outcomes. It has been shown in many studies that knowledge and skills of CPR performance decrease following 6 months after training [33, 34] however the performance ameliorates when nurses are retrained in the same [35]. While adequate knowledge of CPR has been correlated with better performance, some studies have found that correct execution may be more dependent on regular practice through motor skills than on retention of guidelines [36–38].

We suggest, based on prior literature, BLS courses should not just be made mandatory for all health care professionals before entering their program but also, regular workshops should be arranged to reinforce the training throughout their career with recommendations to attend a course at least once in 2 years. BLS certified organizations should be established to cater to the increasing demand of health care professionals being produced in the country to arrange innovative hands-on workshops for the candidates with real life scenarios, and already established workshops should aim to target the same audience following 6 months after a course to plan a refresher course.

One major strength of this study is that we compared the 3 categories of health professionals from five institutes of Karachi, Pakistan. However, the study has some limitations as well; it was a questionnaire based study and the skill set and improvising capabilities of the individuals added to their intellect could not be measured. Hence, it is recommended to conduct further studies on a larger scale from various cities of Pakistan to address the nation as a whole. Moreover, the sample size used is not large enough as compared to previous similar studies. The study included only the participants who completed the whole questionnaire in the final analysis. Any incomplete forms were discarded. This technique likely prevented us to gauge the response rate and the non-reporting bias assessment.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study indicates that knowledge of BLS among health professionals from medicine, nursing and dentistry in Karachi is poor and needs to be improved. The deficits in the knowledge of BLS in health care professionals of the largest and most populous city of Pakistan is a huge concern. We suggest a strict accreditation program to the undergraduate curriculum, along with regular reassessments along the career of the health professionals.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12913-019-4676-y.

Additional file 1. Questionnaire.

Abbreviations

AED: Automated external defibrillator; BLS: Basic Life Support; Cl: Confidence Interval; CPR: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ILS: Immediate Life Support; OHCA: Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; OR: Odds ratio; SCA: Sudden cardiac arrest; SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences; WHO: World Health Organization

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

BI, IZ and OI were involved in study design, data interpretation, article writing and final review. MSK, OAA and SZ performed data collection and

data entry and contributed to article writing, SA interpreted and analyzed the data. SB was involved in article writing and reviewed the article. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Jinnah Hospital, Karachi and written informed consent was taken from each participant prior to data collection.

Consent for publication

Not Applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center, Karachi, Pakistan. ²Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan. ³Altamash Institute of Dental Medicine, Karachi, Pakistan. ⁴Jinnah Sindh Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan. ⁵Department of Medicine, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan. ⁶Faculty School of Dentistry, Lead IMU-Quit Smoking Service, International Medical University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. ⁷Peter Gilgan Center of Research and Learning, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada.

Received: 26 July 2018 Accepted: 24 October 2019 Published online: 21 November 2019

References

- Perkins GD, Travers AH, Berg RA, Castren M, Considine J, Escalante R, et al. Part 3: adult basic life support and automated external defibrillation: 2015 international consensus on cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care science with treatment recommendations. Resuscitation. 2015;95:e43–69.
- Roshana S, Batajoo K, Piryani R, Sharma M. Basic life support: knowledge and attitude of medical/paramedical professionals. World J Emerg Med. 2012;3(2):141.
- Aroor AR, Saya RP, Attar NR, Saya GK, Ravinanthanan M. Awareness about basic life support and emergency medical services and its associated factors among students in a tertiary care hospital in South India. J Emerg Trauma Shock. 2014;7(3):166.
- Organization WH. Eastern Mediterranean status report on road safety: call for action, 2010.
- Cooper S, Johnston E, Priscott D. Immediate life support (ILS) training: impact in a primary care setting? Resuscitation. 2007;72(1):92–9.
- Müller M, Hänsel M, Stehr S, Weber S, Koch T. A state-wide survey of medical emergency management in dental practices: incidence of emergencies and training experience. Emerg Med J. 2008;25(5):296–300.
- Kandray DP, Pieren JA, Benner RW. Attitudes of Ohio dentists and dental hygienists on the use of automated external defibrillators. J Dent Educ. 2007;71(4):480–6.
- Chandrasekaran S, Kumar S, Bhat SA. Awareness of basic life support among medical, dental, nursing students and doctors. Indian J Anaesth. 2010;54(2):121.
- Ragavan S, Schneider H, Kloeck W. Basic resuscitation—knowledge and skills of full-time medical practitioners at public hospitals in northern province. S Afr Med J. 2000;90(5):504–8.
- Zaheer H, Haque Z. Students' corner-awareness about BLS (CPR) among medical students: status and requirements. JPMA. 2009;59(1):57.
- Phillips P, Nolan J. Training in basic and advanced life support in UK medical schools: questionnaire survey. BMJ. 2001;323(7303):22–3.
- Chaudhary A, Parikh H, Dave V. Current scenario: knowledge of basic life support in medical college. Natl J Med Res. 2011;1(2):80–2.
- Nolan JP, Soar J, Cariou A, Cronberg T, Moulaert VR, Deakin CD, et al. European resuscitation council and European society of intensive care medicine 2015 guidelines for post-resuscitation care. Intensive Care Med. 2015;41(12):2039–56.

- Reddy S, Doshi D, Reddy P, Kulkarni S, Reddy S. Awareness of basic life support among staff and students in a dental school. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2013;14(3):511.
- Sangamesh N, Vidya K, Pathi J, Singh A. Awareness, attitude, and knowledge of basic life support among medical, dental, and nursing faculties and students in the university hospital. J Int Soc Prev Community Dent. 2017;7(4):161.
- Al-Shamiri HM, Al-Maweri SA, Shugaa-Addin B, Alaizari NA, Hunaish A. Awareness of basic life support among Saudi dental students and interns. Eur J Dent. 2017;11(4):521.
- Weisfeldt ML, Becker LB. Resuscitation after cardiac arrest: a 3-phase timesensitive model. JAMA. 2002;288(23):3035–8.
- Niemi-Murola L, Mäkinen M, Castren M, Group ES. Medical and nursing students' attitudes toward cardiopulmonary resuscitation and current practice quidelines. Resuscitation. 2007;72(2):257–63.
- Chojnacki P, Ilieva R, Kołodziej A, Królikowska A, Lipka J, Ruta J. Knowledge of BLS and AED resuscitation algorithm amongst medical students preliminary results. Anestezjol Intens Ter. 2011;43(1):29–32.
- Sharma R, Attar NR. Adult basic life support (BLS) awareness and knowledge among medical and dental interns completing internship from deemed university. NUJHS. 2012;2:6–13.
- Nambiar M, Nedungalaparambil NM, Aslesh OP. Is current training in basic and advanced cardiac life support (BLS & ACLS) effective? A study of BLS & ACLS knowledge amongst healthcare professionals of North-Kerala. World J Emerg Med. 2016;7(4):263.
- Baduni N, Prakash P, Srivastava D, Sanwal MK, Singh BP. Awareness of basic life support among dental practitioners. Natl J Maxillofac Surg. 2014;5(1):19.
- Avabratha KS, Bhagyalakshmi K, Puranik G, Shenoy KV, Rai BS. A study of the knowledge of resuscitation among interns. Al Ameen J Med Sci. 2012;5(2):152–6.
- Zamir Q, Nadeem A, Rizvi AH. Awareness of cardiopulmonary resuscitation in medical-students and doctors in Rawalpindi-Islamabad, Pakistan. JPMA. 2012;62(12):1361–4.
- Abbas A, Bukhari SI, Ahmad F. Knowledge of first aid and basic life support amongst medical students: a comparison between trained and un-trained students. JPMA. 2011;61(6):613–6.
- Jiang Y-B, Wang Z-G, Huang X, Yu R, Zhu N. The influence of elective course of emergency treatment for medical students on the cultivation of first aid knowledge and skill of cardio-pulmonary resuscitation. Zhongguo Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue. 2008;20(9):571–3.
- Kozamani A, Kapadochos T, Kadda O. Factors that influence nursing staff attitudes towards initiating CPR and in using an automatic external defibrillator when outside of a hospital. Health Sci J. 2012;6(1):88.
- 28. Oh S-I, Han S-S. A study on the sustainable effects of reeducation on cardiopulmonary resuscitation on nurses' knowledge and skills. J Korean Acad Nurs. 2008;38(3):383–92.
- 29. Malamed SF. Medical emergencies in the dental office. ed. St Louis: Mosby; 2000.
- 30. Starc B, Pečan M. Training of medical students in resuscitation at the University of Ljubljana. Resuscitation. 1996;32(1):19–22.
- Steen PA, Kramer-Johansen J. Improving cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality to ensure survival. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2008;14(3):299–304.
- Sodhi K, Singla MK, Shrivastava A. Impact of advanced cardiac life support training program on the outcome of cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a tertiary care hospital. Indian J Crit Care Med. 2011;15(4):209.
- Kardong-Edgren S, Adamson KA. BSN medical-surgical student ability to perform CPR in a simulation: recommendations and implications. Clin Simul Nurs. 2009;5(2):e79–83.
- 34. Passali C, Pantazopoulos I, Dontas I, Patsaki A, Barouxis D, Troupis G, et al. Evaluation of nurses' and doctors' knowledge of basic & advanced life support resuscitation guidelines. Nurse Educ Pract. 2011;11(6):365–9.
- 35. Nolan JP, Hazinski MF, Billi JE, Boettiger BW, Bossaert L, de Caen AR, et al. Part 1: executive summary: 2010 international consensus on cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care science with treatment recommendations. Resuscitation. 2010;81(1):e1–e25.
- Brown TB, Dias JA, Saini D, Shah RC, Cofield SS, Terndrup TE, et al. Relationship between knowledge of cardiopulmonary resuscitation guidelines and performance. Resuscitation. 2006;69(2):253–61.
- Latman NS, Wooley K. Knowledge and skill retention of emergency care attendants, EMT-as, and EMT-Ps. Ann Emerg Med. 1980;9(4):183–9.
- 38. Deliere H, Schneider L. A study of cardiopulmonary resuscitation technical skill retention among trained EMT-A's. EMT J. 1980;4(2):57–60.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

