

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Digital health applications and the fast-track pathway to public health coverage in Germany: challenges and opportunities based on first results

Hendrikje Lantzsch^{1*}, Helene Eckhardt¹, Alessandro Campione¹, Reinhard Busse^{1,2} and Cornelia Henschke^{1,2}

Correction: *BMC Health Services Research* (2022) 22:1182
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-08500-6>

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors identified errors in the Abstract, the Keywords and the ‘Selection of the reported results’ subsection.

Corrections (marked in **bold**):

1. Abstract: Since 2020 Digital Health Applications (DiHA, **German DiGA**) in Germany have been undergoing a systematic pathway to be reimbursed by statutory health insurance (SHI) which is attracting attention in other European countries.
2. Keywords: Digital health applications, Digital health technology, **DiHA, DiGA, Ehealth**, Evidence evaluation
3. ‘Selection of the reported results’ subsection: **Almost all** of the clinical trials (n = 10/11) had a low risk of bias in the selection of the reported results as a pre-specified protocol was provided and data produced was analysed in accordance with the pre-specified analysis plan (**Additional file 2**). **Almost one third** of the clinical trials (n = 3/11) raised some concerns

as it remains unclear if selective reporting occurred (**Additional file 2**). One third of the clinical trials (n = 3/11) had a high risk of bias as outcomes that were supposed to be investigated according to the protocol were not mentioned in the study or were not evaluated (**Fig. 5**).

The original article [1] has been corrected.

Published online: 14 June 2023

References

1. Lantzsch, et al. Digital health applications and the fast-track pathway to public health coverage in Germany: challenges and opportunities based on first results. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2022;22:1182. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-08500-6>.

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-08500-6>.

*Correspondence:

Hendrikje Lantzsch
hendrikje.lantzsch@tu-berlin.de

¹Department of Health Care Management, Technische Universität Berlin, Straße des 17. Juni 135, 10623 Berlin, Germany

²Berlin Centre of Health Economics Research, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.