

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Emerging communities of child-healthcare practice in the management of long-term conditions such as chronic kidney disease: qualitative study of parents' accounts

Ian Carolan², Trish Smith², Andy Hall¹ and Veronica M Swallow^{1,3*}

Abstract

Background: Parents of children and young people with long-term conditions who need to deliver clinical care to their child at home with remote support from hospital-based professionals, often search the internet for care-giving information. However, there is little evidence that the information available online was developed and evaluated with parents or that it acknowledges the communities of practice that exist as parents and healthcare professionals share responsibility for condition management.

Methods: The data reported here are part of a wider study that developed and tested a condition-specific, online parent information and support application with children and young people with chronic-kidney disease, parents and professionals. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 19 fathers and 24 mothers who had recently tested the novel application. Data were analysed using Framework Analysis and the Communities of Practice concept.

Results: Evolving communities of child-healthcare practice were identified comprising three components and several sub components: (1) *Experiencing* (parents making sense of clinical tasks) through Normalising care, Normalising illness, Acceptance & action, Gaining strength from the affected child and Building relationships to formalise a routine; (2) *Doing* (Parents executing tasks according to their individual skills) illustrated by Developing coping strategies, Importance of parents' efficacy of care and Fear of the child's health failing; and (3) *Belonging/Becoming* (Parents defining task and group members' worth and creating a personal identity within the community) consisting of Information sharing, Negotiation with health professionals and Achieving expertise in care. Parents also recalled factors affecting the development of their respective communities of healthcare practice; these included Service transition, Poor parent social life, Psycho-social affects, Family chronic illness, Difficulty in learning new procedures, Shielding and avoidance, and Language and cultural barriers. Health care professionals will benefit from using the communities of child-healthcare practice model when they support parents of children with chronic kidney disease.

Conclusions: Understanding some of the factors that may influence the development of communities of child-healthcare practice will help professionals to tailor information and support for parents learning to manage their child's healthcare. Our results are potentially transferrable to professionals managing the care of children and young people with other long-term conditions.

Keywords: Social Learning theory, Self-efficacy, Developing internet resources, Chronic kidney disease (CKD), Children and young people, Parent, Knowledge, Psychosocial support, Communities of practice

* Correspondence: veronica.swallow@manchester.ac.uk

¹School of Nursing, Midwifery and Social Work, Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences, Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PT, UK

³School of Nursing, Midwifery and Social Work, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Background

Childhood chronic kidney disease stage 3–5 (CKD) is a complex set of disorders with multiple causes and complications; patients have the condition for life, and optimal management which is essential, requires skilled, home-based clinical care by parents, supported remotely by hospital-based professionals [1]. In previous research [2] parents identified a need for online information and support to supplement, not replace, the support they already received from the hospital-based multidisciplinary team (MDT). It is clear that increasing internet use by society [3–9] has transformed NHS clients' relationships with information making it more likely parents will search on-line for supplementary care-giving information, although unreliable, misleading and inaccurate sites exist and users must navigate through myth and hearsay [10]. Changing trends in internet use, a dearth of research on what parents think about existing formats of on-line information and support and a 'digital divide' between those who do/do not have internet access [6] means, therefore, we need a detailed examination of what on-line support parents use, would like, and how they and their children think it could best be delivered to meet parents' variable needs [7,8].

This paper reports on one aspect of a larger study that defined, developed and tested a CKD-specific Internet Health Communication Application (IHCA), the Online Parent Information and Support (OPIS) application. Qualitative interviews with parents were conducted after they had 'road tested' OPIS for 20 weeks. The results describe and discuss data emerging from these interviews. Data were analysed using Wenger's social learning theory, Communities of Practice (CoP) [11–17]. Learning occurs between group members engaged in joint enterprise to formulate a common set of solutions to familiar problems [13]. Communities of practice members share the same goals, interests, and repertoire of resources [11]; they draw on shared methods of "doing and undertaking tasks" to achieve their goals, using the same tools and common language to produce a unique body of knowledge [15,18]. The CoP becomes the educator and/or educational environment [16].

Lesser & Storck [17] suggest CoPs generate social capital between members, creating organisational resources and interaction guidance. Community interactions create enhanced knowledge, trust, reciprocity, co-operation and behavioural changes that strengthen social capital and enhance CoP performance [19–21]. These relationships do require nurturing to ensure a CoP's effective function [22]. Members of CoPs 'problem solve' by using the principles of social capital: shared language, shared experiences, self-development, mutual trust, and identification with the community [23] to enhance the learning process. Flora [24] contends that CoPs

best solve problems when they are diverse, inclusive, flexible, horizontal (linking with those of similar status), and vertical (linking with those of different status).

The flexibility of the CoP concept makes it ideal to adapt to healthcare management; these communities generate ideas for new services, practices and products [25]. Communities of Practice address complex dilemmas such as improving quality and safeguarding high standards of care by fostering an environment for clinical care excellence [26]. Therefore, the CoP concept has learning potential for health professionals [14,27–30] and parents. After conducting an extensive library search no published material citing Communities of Practice using parent perspectives in child-health care to elucidate the theory was found.

Le May [14] suggests that CoPs generate professional and human/patient capital. Participants transfer socio-cultural practices between group members, who then contribute to the overall development of capital for that community. Patients and families living with childhood long-term conditions such as CKD, develop capital by: understanding the way people view them or their child's health; expressing satisfaction and expectation and contributing to changing healthcare services. Generating capital creates a store used by others, including patients, parents and professionals. Parent and patient story telling is increasingly important in enhancing health professional's knowledge capital as they learn from stories [2,14,31]. The evolution of patient/family and care centred CoPs and how they develop capital is, therefore, fertile research ground [2,32]. For the purposes of this paper we used a conceptual framework that to our knowledge has not previously been used in this context. It illuminates parents' views and experiences of using OPIS in relation to their involvement with health professionals as they shared management of their child's CKD. The published protocol for the wider study can be found at [33].

Methods

The study was approved by NHS Research Ethics Committee 11/NW/0268.

Research setting

The study was based in a large Children's Hospital in the North West of England; one of the thirteen regional centres caring for UK children with CKD, and specialising in dialysis and transplantation. Families from different UK regions access the centre.

Sampling and recruitment

The target population were parents of children aged 0–18 years with CKD whose care was being managed in this centre, using a purposive sampling approach

based on parents' ethnicity, age, gender and their child's CKD stage. Forty three parents provided written consent and participated in semi-structured interviews (Table 1).

Data collection and analysis

Individual, semi structured qualitative interviews were undertaken by IC using a topic guide [34]. Interviews took place in parents' homes and occasionally were supplemented by telephone interviews [35], or a quiet room in the hospital according to participants' preferences. The interviews lasted 45 minutes on average and were digitally recorded, transcribed and later analysed by IC and VS using Framework, thus providing auditable, organised data management and transparency of findings. Transcriptions were read and emerging themes were entered into a chart and then attributed a hierarchy based upon their connectivity. After further reading, emerging themes and sub themes iteratively altered the charting process, the data were finally added to the Framework before review by the study team [36-39].

Results

Sample characteristics

A total of 43 parents (19 fathers and 24 mothers of 32 children) were interviewed in 11 couple and 21 individual interviews.

Findings

Parents' accounts in our previous research suggest 'common ground' [18] exists between themselves and health professionals; in the present study it emerged that this common ground can also contribute to the development of communities of child-healthcare practice (CoC-HP); these evolve iteratively not linearly due to frequent dynamic health care challenges. Parents act as CoC-HP co-ordinators [12] developing community capital by

exchanging knowledge between members. Parents' data demonstrate components and sub-components that correspond with the CoP components defined by Wenger: *Experiencing, Doing, and Becoming/Belonging* [11,12] and also illuminates specific factors that affect CoC-HPs' development. These findings are presented below in composite case studies. The ellipsis in brackets (...) signifies omitted text; square brackets denote explanatory text. The components and sub-components of the CoC-HP are:

Experiencing (see Additional file 1 for relevant composite case study)

Parents often experience shock and trauma when learning of their child's CKD; they seek to negotiate its meaning so they can develop strategies that aid the incorporation of health care into daily routines.

Normalisation of care

Parents accommodate health care procedures into their daily lives, altering their family routine in order to learn the procedures, thereby ensuring that family life runs as smoothly as possible. Parents spend significant chunks of time delivering clinical care and it can make them feel they are neglecting other siblings. Embedding care into family routine helps to ensure that siblings know that care is necessary yet the patient is not favoured by their parent[s].

Normalisation of illness

Parents try to prevent their ill child being defined by their condition, so they do not become a caricature of illness. Most parents instil mental resilience in their child enabling them to integrate the illness into their lives. They encourage their child to develop coping strategies to ensure the effects of CKD do not negatively impact on their child's experience of life opportunities, and enabling them to do the things that 'well' children do.

Table 1 Sample characteristics

Category	CKD 3		CKD 4-5		Total
	White European/Afro Caribbean	South Asian	White European/Afro Caribbean	South Asian	
Gender					
Female	7	0	15	2	24
Male	3	0	10	6	19
Family details					
Single parent interviews	4	0	13	4	21
Couple parents interviews	3	0	6	2	11
Age					
16-24	0	0	1	0	1
25-49	6	0	16	8	30
50-64	4	0	8	0	12
65+	0	0	0	0	0

Acceptance and action

Parents reported that acceptance is crucial for themselves to integrate CKD into their lives.

Gaining strength from the affected child

Parental mental resilience to CKD is affected by their child's reaction to receiving health care. Clinical procedures can be invasive, time consuming and stressful for parents and children; parents reported being distressed by their child's discomfort and pain, however if the child copes, parent(s) are comforted by this and gain strength from it.

Building relationships to formalise a routine

The many types of relationships parents discussed included learning to work with: the MDT at the hospital, General Practitioners and community health care staff; and developing empathetic relationships with neighbours, friends and members of the extended family who have personal health care experience. These relationships help parents to develop a CoC-HP that assists in managing their child's care.

Additional file 1 presents a composite case study drawn from our data illustrating sub components and related quotations reflecting the way parents experience the components of the CoC-HP.

Doing (see Additional file 2 for relevant case study quotations).

This component concerns the active part of parents' caring for their child's clinical needs; learning through joint enterprise and shouldering the responsibilities and burden of healthcare. Three subcomponents help to illustrate this (see example quotations, Additional file 2).

Developing coping strategies

Parents said they learn to cope with the responsibility of care by seeking activities to positively channel negative emotions; including, going to the gym, going for a run, discussing issues with friends at social meetings, gardening and comparing their child's condition with children in worse health. They were comforted by not being alone or the realisation that they are not the most unfortunate family using the centre.

The importance of Parent's efficacy of care

Many parents take the responsibility of clinical care very seriously and want to demonstrate health care competence within their CoC-HP to ensure their child receives the best possible care and achieves a managed 'wellness'. Parents find it difficult to delegate clinical procedures to others in their family support network due to the burden of managing wellness and because they know their own child better than anyone else.

Fear of the Child's failing health

Children's health status can fluctuate widely which can be exceptionally stressful for them yet also be a motivator for parental care efficacy. Parents want to minimise episodes of poor health for their child as much as possible.

Additional file 2 uses a composite case study drawn from the data to illustrate sub components and related quotations reflecting the way parents actively care for their child's CKD, thereby demonstrating the 'Doing' component of the CoC-HP.

Belonging/Becoming (see Additional file 3 for relevant quotations).

As CoC-HP co-ordinators, parents have built considerable contextual and care knowledge capital regarding their child; this capital spreads throughout the CoC-HP, cementing parents' identity as CoC-HP co-ordinators; they now exude an accomplished and confident demeanour. However, due to the changing nature of CKD, the CoC-HP, its capital and parental identities are likely to be fractured and rebuilt according to the emerging care needs of the child.

Information sharing

Experienced parents feel confident sharing their contextual care knowledge with other parents; filtering this knowledge helps them to fill gaps between MDT endorsed clinical approaches and parents' and/or families' care contexts. Advice from other experienced parents provides tacit knowledge for families who are negotiating meaning in their child's care. Information sharing creates capital between families and widens individual CoC-HP membership.

Negotiating with NHS staff

In the 'experiencing' component parent data suggests that they find it difficult to challenge staff in their CoC-HP if they have concerns about clinical issues, because they rely on MDT members' experience and knowledge capital. In time, however, parents develop their own experience and knowledge within their CoC-HP, thereby benefiting from their own contextual insight of their child. This insight helps parents to feel confident enough to negotiate with staff about their child's health care issues such as: administering medication; performing clinical procedures; instilling a clinical regimen and disciplining their child.

Expertise in care

Regarding the administration of complex care procedures parents view their competency as progressing to expertise. For example, when replacing urinary catheters or naso-gastric tubes, and ensuring their child adheres to their medication regimen, they know that by

becoming experts they are helping to relieve their child's pain and suffering.

Additional file 3 uses a composite case study to illustrate sub components and related quotes reflecting the way parents' feel as if they are beginning to belong to the CoC-HP and construct a care identity.

Although the CoC-HP components we identified in our data (Experiencing, Doing and Belonging/Becoming) demonstrate the evolution of this community; the dynamic nature of CKD means progression is achieved iteratively not linearly. Parents accounts also indicate seven key factors that affect a CoC-HP's development.

Factors Affecting the Development of the CoC-HP.

Service transition

Parents' suggested that they fear change in the child's clinical circumstances; fear of the unknown adds stress, when parents have become accustomed to specific routines this helps them to normalise CKD management into family life, change is therefore, a concern for them. Transitions, such as transferring from children's to adult services, or from treatment with dialysis to kidney transplantation means that new community members and knowledge capital must be integrated and new meaning negotiated within the CoC-HP to ensure it functions effectively.

Poor parent social life

The intense health care needs of children with CKD are time consuming; these demands affect parents' earning potential as they fit employment around their child's healthcare. Leisure time is eroded when CKD care and paid employment are crucial. However, leisure time helps parents to process the burden of managing care. Care responsibility often resides with parent(s) alone, due to its responsibility, family members or friends who might usually help share child care with the parents, therefore lack the necessary clinical skills, thus reinforcing the parental burden of responsibility.

Psycho-social effects on parents

Parents are affected by: the trauma of finding out that their child has CKD; the variable nature of care management; communication and relationship breakdown; disparity in health care management between parents; and the fear for siblings' welfare due to the significant time spent with the patient. Some parents who are experienced at successfully managing their child's health care felt it strengthened their family, but recognised that health care should not be the sole aim of family life. Parents recommended that the opportunity to discuss these issues with a social worker and/or clinical psychologist would help them to process these psycho-social issues.

Family chronic illness

Some families have more than one member experiencing chronic illness; this affects how families cope with care management and how their CoC-HP evolves.

Difficulty in learning New procedures

CKD management procedures are complex, requiring consistent and detailed MDT support. The critical nature of delivering clinical procedures means parents have to get care right each time. There can be strong resistance and reservations from parents about their ability to administer care procedures.

Shielding/avoidance

In some cases parents limit sharing knowledge with their partner to shield them from distress caused by their child's deteriorating health. In addition, some parents avoid facing the reality of their child's condition, blaming other factors; this causes dysfunction in the CoC-HP affecting care efficacy and the managed wellness of the child.

Language and cultural barriers

In families where English is a second language, clinical messages may be misunderstood by parents and consequently a child's clinical care and long term clinical outcomes could be negatively affected. Cultural traditions may also bar specific types of care or donation of kidneys to improve patient health and wellbeing thus causing dysfunction in the development of the CoC-HP. MDTs may exacerbate this if they lack knowledge of local languages, therefore limiting the knowledge capital they can share with these parents, especially if interpreters need to be included in the CoC-HP.

Additional file 4 uses a composite case study to help illustrate sub components and related quotations reflecting the way the CoC-HP evolves.

Discussion

The data presented here are significant because families are expected to execute complex, home-based clinical care procedures that require high levels of competence [30,32,40-44]; failure to safely carry out this care could affect their child's clinical outcomes. However, there is a dearth of collaboratively developed, evidence based information to address their parents' needs and preferences. There is also a lack of guidance for professionals on the factors that influence the development of communities of child-healthcare practice [2]. Three main components of CoPs as defined by Wenger, when integrated with the factors that affect development of the community have resulted in the Community of Child-healthcare Practice Model (Figure 1).

Connections between the CoP components of Experiencing, Doing and Becoming/belonging and the factors

Experiencing	Doing	Belonging/Becoming	Factors Affecting the CoHP
Normalisation of care	Developing Coping strategies	Information Sharing	Service Transition
Normalisation of illness	Importance of efficacy in care	Negotiating with NHS Staff	Poor Parent Social Life
Patient Strength Inspires Parents	Fear of Health Failing	Praising NHS Staff	Psycho social effects on family
Acceptance and Action	Negotiation over division of labour	Challenging School Perceptions	Family chronic Illness
Building Relationships		Expertise in care	Difficulty in learning new procedures
			Shielding/Avoidance
			Language/cultural barriers

Source: Authors interpretation of Primary Research data (2013) using Wenger's Communities of Practice methodology. Adapted from Wenger 1998

Figure 1 The community of child-healthcare practice model.

that influence development of communities of healthcare practice as identified by parents provide a conceptual framework that acts as a foundation to inform the development of parent information and support by healthcare professionals as they share responsibility for condition management.

The analysis presented here builds on previous research and helps to elucidate the components of CoC-HPs through parents' accounts of their child's care management [40], also revealing factors affecting the function of a CoC-HP.

When discussing 'normalisation of illness and care' and 'acceptance and action' parents in our study demonstrated a desire to manage, control, integrate change and create meaning early in their child's CKD trajectory in order to incorporate the condition into their daily routine [2]. There are similarities between this analysis and the 'Experiencing' component of Wenger's CoP concept; Wenger [11,12] suggests that negotiating meaning (how we experience and engage with the world) requires sustained attention and readjustment by participants to achieve accomplishment. In our study, parents' data described a CoC-HP sub component of 'Gaining strength from their child' that cements this hypothesis. Although children with CKD often require invasive and painful clinical procedures [1] the child's reaction to these procedures helped parents to negotiate meaning from it, seeing their child's health improve sometimes acted as a catalyst providing parents with the mental and physical stamina necessary for complex care management. Lave

and Wenger [13] similarly find that learners begin their journey on the periphery of CoPs and move towards full participation as they negotiate their own place within that community. In the same way, when discussing 'Building relationships' parents' accounts illuminated another feature of Lave and Wenger's [13] assertion that community members are trying to negotiate meaning by learning from individuals they feel provide situated experience.

In the CoC-HP, parents talked of 'Developing coping strategies' to relieve the significant burden of care management [31,41-45]. Wenger [12] asserts that when there is too much dependence on the activity of a co-ordinator, in this case parent(s) act as CoC-HP coordinators, this burden of responsibility makes the CoC-HP vulnerable to dysfunction. Parents in our study, therefore, appeared to be remedying potential CoC-HP dysfunction by recognising the necessity to alleviate their burden of responsibility, learning to cope by implementing strategies. In addition, parents revealed the 'Importance of care efficacy' and 'Fear of failing health' which is akin to Wenger's assertion [12] that co-ordinators of an enterprise are determined to be competent in it. Practice leads to competence in the enterprise and parents' data revealed a determination to avoid CoC-HP dysfunction by achieving care efficacy that would contribute to a 'managed wellness' for their child.

Our description of 'Sharing of Information' is consistent with a key sub component of Wenger et al's CoP [13] as they suggest it is essential to avoid community

dysfunction. They claim that this is why avoiding complacency and incorporating new members into the CoC-HP are important factors when the community achieves expertise, so that progressive shared learning continues within the CoC-HP. New parents or health professionals providing their knowledge capital help to increase the CoC-HP store of knowledge capital, thus avoiding group stagnation and dysfunction. Health professionals also learn from parents, particularly as they establish their identities as expert carers; the *'Negotiating with NHS Staff'* sub-component is illuminated by Wenger et al's [13] sub component suggesting that peace and harmony are not essential in CoPs. It is beneficial if members achieve expertise by challenging and negotiating with each other, it indicates that parents are gaining expertise [2]. It therefore follows that if parents exhibit *'Expertise in care'* as CoC-HP co-ordinators they exude confidence and knowledge and assert their expertise in the CoC-HP because they have learnt from professionals [46] and are confident expressing their identity.

Several factors were also identified in our data that affect CoC-HP development, sometimes causing dysfunction; these are discussed next. The *'Service Transition'*; and *'Learning New Procedures'* sub components occur anywhere within the CKD trajectory [30]. Receiving a different service or learning new tasks, requires the negotiation of meaning and therefore, the *Experiencing* component of the CoC-HP begins again as parents and professionals adapt to the revised CoC-HP context [11], how this is accommodated affects the function of the CoC-HP [12]. The *'Poor Parent Social Life'* sub-component occurs due to the time consuming care needs of the patient [2], this can lead to parents feeling overloaded. Wenger et al. suggest [12] if co-ordinators of enterprises, in this case parents, are not supported or given opportunities for self-expression it will lead to CoC-HP dysfunction. Parents in turn may display *'Psycho Social Effects'*; these emerge for many reasons including *'Family chronic illness'* placing added care burden and responsibility on parents and the extended family, intensifying stress and the potential for CoC-HP dysfunction. It is essential for health professionals to appreciate individual family contexts when they enable the functioning of CoC-HPs [2,18], for example, professionals may identify issues such as chronic sorrow [2,47] affecting parental care management efficacy and leading to CoC-HP dysfunction [12].

Parents occasionally decide to practice *'Shielding/Avoidance'* to protect their partner, themselves or family members from upsetting information; they may feel it helps to improve their CoC-HP function by preventing short term panic or hysteria [48]. However, ultimately it leads to complacency due to the clique of support that develops. Wenger et al. [12] identify cliques developing

when participants are deliberately marginalised or excluded in the CoP, it leads inevitably to dysfunction. Some parent data revealed a *'Language/Cultural'* barriers sub component that may exist in areas of cultural diversity; the cultural competence [46] of CoC-HPs' health care provision may be affected. Health care organisations must provide care to patients with diverse values, beliefs and behaviours, tailoring delivery to meet patients' social, cultural, and linguistic needs. Barriers to cultural competence include a lack of diversity in health care leadership and workforce; systems of care are poorly designed to meet the needs of diverse patient populations such that poor communication between providers and patients of different racial, ethnic, or cultural backgrounds can exist.

The strengths of this study include; a strong and multi-disciplinary study team comprising of clinical and research professionals and parents that enabled detailed, focused data capture. Face to face interviews helped to elicit greater depth of detail from parents [37]. Our purposive sampling approach meant our results specifically apply to the research cohort because parents from different ethnic and socio economic backgrounds participated, and 19 fathers (a group who are often under-represented in healthcare research) took part in the study [31,49-53]. Parent recollections were first hand experiences of care management; couple interviews enhanced discourse due to this double hermeneutic [54], as participants shared their mutual care experiences. Our analysis of the data gathered is potentially transferrable to CoC-HPs responsible for managing other long term conditions. After a search for the term *'Communities of Child-healthcare Practice'* using a variety of library search tools, we concluded there is not a parent centred study that observed the abstract components of the COP model elucidating and locating them within empirically observed *Communities of Child-healthcare Practice*; therefore this paper complements and builds on existing *Communities of Clinical* [27,28] and *Nursing* [29,30] *Practice* literature.

Study limitations include the fact that sampling involved only one UK kidney unit, a sample that accounts for greater diversity of age, gender, cultural differences and socio-economic status would have enhanced the variety and depth of data collected. Data collection relied on verbal recollection of care provision by parents only. Our list of factors affecting CoC-HP development is not exhaustive and it is recommended that further research be carried out to reveal additional factors that exist and their effect on CoC-HP function. Future research could include a longitudinal, ethnographic study whereby researchers observe a range of CoC-HP members (for example, patients, parents, health professionals and family friends) over a period of time, involving a range of chronic childhood conditions in different health care settings.

When considering the CoC-HP components and sub components described and discussed in this paper, it seems prudent to suggest that further research should look at how the CoC-HP model and factors affecting it could be used to enhance health care practice, aiding professionals to further understand parents' evolving care management needs [2]. Implications of these findings are important as improving services is important [55] and authors [32,56] suggest that future health care funding needs to be directed towards effective, and away from ineffective interventions, and that contextual knowledge is needed. A CoC-HP model such as the one presented here (Figure 1) could, therefore, potentially help health professionals and inform their decisions when supporting parents.

Conclusions

When discussing their views on OPIS following a trial period, parents also revealed the existence and evolution of CoC-HPs that focused on their child's clinical care. Building on the established concept of CoP, our analysis has uncovered several components exhibiting both the evolution of the CoC-HP and also the factors that affect its development. Data revealed that there are distinctive CoC-HPs that evolve along the trajectory of a child's CKD. This paper discusses the comparisons between CoPs and CoC-HPs and concludes that it is important to learn lessons from CoC-HPs. Important future research questions would address the following: can CoC-HPs be engineered to facilitate effective care?; what additional factors affect the development of CoC-HPs?; how do CoC-HPs evolve in different environments?

Disclaimer

This paper presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB) Programme (Grant Reference Number: PB-PG-0110-21305). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. We confirm that all parent identifiers have been removed or disguised so the parents described are not identifiable and cannot be identified through the details of the story.

Additional files

Additional file 1: The Glovers, a composite case study.
Additional file 2: The Rayhans, a composite case study.
Additional file 3: The Bests, a composite case study.
Additional file 4: Composite case study demonstrating factors affecting development of the CoHP.

Competing interests

We confirm that none of the authors have competing interests.

Authors' contributions

IC made a substantial contribution to acquisition of data, coordination of the study, data collection, data analysis and interpretation, leading the drafting of the manuscript, and finalising the version of the manuscript to be published; TS made substantial contribution to setting up the study site, data analysis and interpretation, drafting of the manuscript, and has given final approval of the version to be published; AH participated in design and coordination of the study, made substantial contribution to data interpretation, drafting of the manuscript, and has given final approval of the version to be published; VS conceived of the study, led its design and coordination, made substantial contribution to analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the manuscript, revising it critically for important intellectual content and has given final approval of the version to be published. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to the parents who generously provided data, MDT members for support and advice, and members of the research team who supported the wider study: Professor Kathleen Knafelz, Professor Sheila Santacroce, Dr Nick Webb, Ms Melanie Harper-Jones, Dr Malcolm Campbell, Mr Osman and Mrs Hanif.

Author details

¹School of Nursing, Midwifery and Social Work, Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences, Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PT, UK. ²Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9WL, UK. ³School of Nursing, Midwifery and Social Work, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK.

Received: 29 November 2013 Accepted: 2 July 2014

Published: 7 July 2014

References

1. Lewis M: **Demography of renal disease in childhood.** *Seminars in Fetal & Neonatal Medicine* 2008, **13**:118–124.
2. Swallow V, Lambert H, Clarke C, Campbell S, Jacoby A: **Childhood chronic-kidney-disease: a longitudinal-qualitative study of families learning to share management early in the trajectory.** *Patient Educ Couns* 2008, **73**:354–362.
3. Tuffrey C, Finlay F: **Use of the internet by parents of paediatric outpatients.** *Arch Dis Child* 2002, **87**(6):534–536.
4. Office for National Statistics (2013) National-Statistics-Online: **Households with access to the internet.** 2008.
5. ICT: **Measuring the Information Society - The ICT Development Index.** 2009.
6. OFCOM: **The Communication Market.** In Edited by Edited by Communications Oo. London; 2008.
7. Ellins J, McIver S: **Systematic provision of information on quality of primary care services: making health service information accessible.** In *Consumer Research Report 3.* London: Health Services Management Centre; 2008.
8. Whyte KL, Hunter I: **Internet access, utilisation and perception by parents.** *Arch Dis Child* 2008, **93**(5):448-b-449.
9. Ferguson T, Frydman G: **The first generation of e-patients.** *BMJ* 2004, **328** (7449):1148–1149.
10. Darzi: **High Quality Care for All: NHS Next Stage Review Final Report.** In Norwich: The Stationery Office; 2008.
11. Wenger E: *Communities of Practice: Learning, Meaning and Identity.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.
12. Wenger E, McDermott R, Snyder WM: *Cultivating Communities of Practice.* Boston: Harvard Business School Press; 2002.
13. Lave J, Wenger E: *Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991.
14. May AL: **Introducing Communities of Practice.** In *Communities of Practice in Health and Social Care.* Edited by May AL. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing; 2009.
15. Wenger E: **Communities of practice and social learning systems.** *Organizations* 2000, **7**(2):225–246.

16. Lathlean J, May A: Communities of practice: an opportunity for interagency working. *J Clin Nurs* 2002, **11**(3):394–398.
17. Lesser E, Storck J: Knowledge Management; Communities of Practice and Organisational Performance. *IBM Syst J* 2001, **30**(4):831–841.
18. Swallow V, Nightingale R, Williams J, Lambert H, Webb N, Smith T, Wirz L, Qizalbash L, Crowther L, Allen D: Multidisciplinary teams, and parents, negotiating common ground in shared-care of children with long-term conditions: a mixed methods study. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2013, **13**(1):264.
19. Social Capital: An Analytical Tool For Exploring Lifelong Learning And Community Development. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.203.3441&rep=rep1&type=pdf> Accessed (06/11/13).
20. Swallow VM: Accredited work-based learning (AWBL) for new nursing roles: Nurses' experiences of two pilot schemes. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2001, **10**(6):820–821.
21. Swallow V, Clarke C, Iles S, Harden J: Work based, lifelong learning through professional portfolios: challenge or reward? *Pharmacy Education* 2006, **6**(2):77–89.
22. Crow G, Maclean C: Community. In *Social Divisions*. Edited by Payne G. Hampshire: Macmillan Press; 2000.
23. Falk I, Golding B, Balatt J: *Building Communities: ACE, Lifelong Learning and Social Capital*. Melbourne Language: Melbourne; 2000.
24. Flora J: Social Capital and Communities of Place: Presidential Address. *Rural Social* 1998, **63**(4):481–506.
25. Coakes E, Smith P: Using Communities of Practice for Sustainable Change Management. In *Knowledge management conference*. Birmingham: 2006.
26. Bowles S, Gintis H: Social Capital And Community Governance. *The Economic Journal* 2002, **112**(483):F419–F436.
27. Egan T, Jaye C: Communities of clinical practice: the social organization of clinical learning. *Health: An Interdisciplinary Journal for the Social Study of Health, Illness & Medicine* 2009, **13**(1):107–125.
28. Jaye C, Egan T, Smith-Han K: Communities of clinical practice and normalising technologies of self: learning to fit in on the surgical ward. *Anthropology & Medicine* 2010, **17**(1):59–73.
29. Thrysoe L, Hounsgaard L, Dohn NB, Wagner L: Newly qualified nurses — Experiences of interaction with members of a community of practice. *Nurse Educ Today* 2012, **32**(5):551–555.
30. Griffiths P: A community of practice: the nurses' role on a medical assessment unit. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2011, **20**(1-2):247–254.
31. Swallow V, Lambert H, Santacroce S, Macfadyen A: Fathers and mothers developing skills in managing children's long-term medical conditions: how do their qualitative accounts compare? *Child: Care Health & Development* 2011, **37**(4):512–523.
32. Roberts H, Shiell A, Stevens M: What Works, What Counts and What Matters? Communities of Practice as a Locus for contributing to Resource Allocation Decisions. In *Communities of Practice in Health and Social Care*. Edited by May AL. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing; 2009:109–117.
33. Swallow V, Knafel K, Sanatacroce S, Hall A, Smith T, Campbell M, Webb NJA: The Online Parent Information and Support project, meeting parents' information and support needs for home-based management of childhood chronic kidney disease: research protocol. *J Adv Nurs* 2012, **68**(9):2095–2102.
34. Rapley T: Interviews. In *Qualitative Research Practice*. Edited by Seale C, Gobo G, Gubrium J. London: Sage; 2008.
35. Marr S, Steele K, Swallow V, Craggs S, Procter S, Newton J, Sen B, McNabb A: Mapping the range and scope of emergency nurse practitioner services in the Northern and Yorkshire Region: a telephone survey. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2003, **20**(5):414–417.
36. Ward DJ, Furber C, Tierney S, Swallow V: Using Framework Analysis in nursing research: a worked example. *J Adv Nurs* 2013, **69**(11):2423–2431.
37. Pope C, Ziebland S, Mays N: Analysing qualitative data. *BMJ* 2000, **320**(7227):114–116.
38. Dixon-Woods M: Using framework-based synthesis for conducting reviews of qualitative studies. *BMC Medicine* 2011, **9**(1):39.
39. Swallow V, Newton J, Van Lottum C: How to manage and display qualitative data using 'Framework' and Microsoft (R) Excel. *J Clin Nurs* 2003, **12**(4):610–612.
40. Patterson BL: The Shifting Perspectives Model of Chronic Illness. *J Nurs Scholarsh* 2004, **33**(1):21–26.
41. DOH: The National Service Framework for Renal Services: Working for Children and Young People. In London: Department of Health; 2006.
42. Collier J, Pattison H, Watson A, Sheard C: Parental information needs in chronic renal failure and diabetes mellitus. *Eur J Pediatr* 2001, **160**(1):31–36.
43. Sinha MD, Webb NJA: Shared care of children with renal disease. *Curr Paediatr* 2006, **16**(4):254(255).
44. Swallow VM, Jacoby A: Mothers' coping in chronic childhood illness: the effect of presymptomatic diagnosis of vesicoureteric reflux. *J Adv Nurs* 2001, **33**(1):69–78.
45. Swallow VM, Jacoby A: Mothers' evolving relationships with doctors and nurses during the chronic childhood illness trajectory. *J Adv Nurs* 2001, **36**(6):755–764.
46. Betancourt J, Green A, Carrillo J: Cultural Competence in Health Care: Emerging Frameworks and Practical Approaches. In *The Commonwealth Fund*. 2002.
47. Lowes L, Lyne P: Chronic sorrow in parents of children with newly diagnosed diabetes: a review of the literature and discussion of the implications for nursing practice. *J Adv Nurs* 2000, **32**(1):41–48.
48. Lazarus R, Folkman S: *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. New York: Springer; 1984.
49. Macfadyen A, Swallow V, Santacroce S, Lambert H: Involving fathers in research. *Journal for Specialists in Pediatric Nursing* 2011, **16**(3):216–219.
50. Sullivan-Bolyai SDCNSRN, Rosenberg R, Bayard M: Fathers' Reflections on Parenting Young Children With Type 1 Diabetes. *MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs January/February* 2006, **31**(1):24–31.
51. Ahmann E: Supporting fathers' involvement in children's care. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing* 2006, **32**(1):88–99.
52. Swallow V: An exploration of mothers' and fathers' views of their identities in chronic-kidney-disease management: Parents as students? *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2008, **17**(23):3177–3186.
53. Swallow V, Macfadyen A, Santacroce SJ, Lambert H: Fathers' contributions to the management of their child's long-term medical condition: a narrative review of the literature. *Health Expectations* 2012, **15**(2):157–175.
54. Flyvbjerg B: *Making Social Science Matter: Why Social Inquiry fails and how it can succeed again*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.
55. MRC: Developing and evaluating complex interventions: new guidance. In Medical Research Council: London; 2008.
56. Clarke C, Reed J, Wainwright D, McClelland S, Swallow V, Harden J, Walsh A: The discipline of improvement: something old, something new? *J Nurs Manag* 2004, **12**:85–96.

doi:10.1186/1472-6963-14-292

Cite this article as: Carolan et al.: Emerging communities of child-healthcare practice in the management of long-term conditions such as chronic kidney disease: qualitative study of parents' accounts. *BMC Health Services Research* 2014 **14**:292.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

